

FORM PTO-1390 (REV 5-93)		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE		ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER
TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US) CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371				CRUICKSHANK ET AL. U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 CFR 1.5) 09/554426
INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/GB98/03401	INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE 12 NOVEMBER 1998	PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED 14 NOVEMBER 1997		
TITLE OF INVENTION COMMUNICATIONS TERMINAL AND OPERATING METHOD				
APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US David George Melville CRUICKSHANK and Sascha Marcus SPANGENBERG				
Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:				
1.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This is a FIRST submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.			
2.	<input type="checkbox"/> This is a SECOND or SUBSEQUENT submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.			
3.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)) at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b) and PCT Articles 22 and 39(1).			
4.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date.			
5.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2))			
	a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).			
	b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> has been transmitted by the International Bureau.			
	c. <input type="checkbox"/> is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US)			
6.	<input type="checkbox"/> A translation of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).			
7.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3))			
	a. <input type="checkbox"/> are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).			
	b. <input type="checkbox"/> have been transmitted by the International Bureau.			
	c. <input type="checkbox"/> have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.			
	d. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> have not been made and will not be made.			
8.	<input type="checkbox"/> A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).			
9.	<input type="checkbox"/> An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).			
10.	<input type="checkbox"/> A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).			
Items 11. to 16. below concern other document(s) or information included:				
11.	<input type="checkbox"/> An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.			
12.	<input type="checkbox"/> An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.			
13.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A FIRST preliminary amendment.			
	<input type="checkbox"/> A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment.			
14.	<input type="checkbox"/> A substitute specification.			
15.	<input type="checkbox"/> A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.			
16.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other items or information:			
	Transmittal Sheet with Express Mail Certificate Under 37 CFR §1.10			
	Check in the amount of \$1,374.00			
	Copy of WO 99/26370			
	Preliminary Amendment			
	Return Receipt Postcard			

U.S. APPLICATION NO. 09/7554426 <small>37 CFR 1.50</small>		INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/GB98/03401		ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER CRUICKSHANK ET AL.	
---	--	---	--	--	--

17. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The following fees are submitted: Basic National Fee (37 CFR 1.492(a)(1)-(5)): Search Report has been prepared by the EPO or JPO..... International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) No international preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) but international search fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)).. Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO..... International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(2)-(4)..... <div style="text-align: right;">ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =</div>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">CALCULATIONS</th> <th style="text-align: left;">PTO USE ONLY</th> </tr> <tr> <td style="height: 100px; vertical-align: bottom;"> <div style="text-align: right;">= \$ 840</div> </td> <td style="vertical-align: bottom;">00</td> </tr> </table>	CALCULATIONS	PTO USE ONLY	<div style="text-align: right;">= \$ 840</div>	00
CALCULATIONS	PTO USE ONLY				
<div style="text-align: right;">= \$ 840</div>	00				

Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)).		\$ 130	00
---	--	--------	----

Claims	Number Filed	Number Extra	Rate			
Total claims	28 -28 =	8	X 18.00	\$ 144		00
Independent Claims	2 -3 =	0	X 78.00	\$		
Multiple dependent claims(s) (if applicable)				+260.00	\$ 260	00
TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS				= \$ 1,374		00

Reduction by 1/2 for filing by small entity, if applicable. Verified Small Entity statement must also be filed. (Note 37 CFR 1.9, 1.27, 1.28).		\$	-
SUBTOTAL		= \$ 1,374	00

Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later the <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).		\$	+
TOTAL NATIONAL FEE		= \$ 1,374	00

Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property +		\$	+
TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED		= \$ 1,374	00

	Amount to be:	
	refunded	\$
	charged	\$

a. ☒ A check in the amount of \$ 1,374.00 to cover the above fees is enclosed.


b. ☐ Please charge my Deposit Account No. _____ in the amount of \$ _____ to cover the above fees.
 A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

c. ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any
 overpayment to Deposit Account No. 04-1406. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.

SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

DONALD R. PIPER, JR.
 DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN, P.C.
 1601 Market Street, Suite 720
 Philadelphia, PA 19103-2307
 United States of America


 SIGNATURE

 DONALD R. PIPER, JR.
 NAME

 29,337
 REGISTRATION NUMBER

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of :
:
Cruickshank et al. :
:
Application No. (not yet known) :
:
Filed: **Concurrently herewith** :
:
For: **COMMUNICATIONS TERMINAL AND** :
OPERATING METHOD :

Commissioner of Patents
and Trademarks
Washington, DC 20231

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Please amend the claims of the above-identified application
as follows:

3. A communications terminal according to claims 2,
wherein each correlator is of the same chip length[,]
and wherein
the product of the chip length of each correlator and the number
of correlators [defining] defines the length of the spreading
code.

5. A communications terminal according to claims 1, 2, 3
or 4 [any preceding claim,] including a hard limiter at the input
to the correlating means.

6. A communications terminal according to [any preceding]
claims 1, 2, 3 or 4, wherein the FFT means [is] includes a
complex zero padded FFT processor having at least twice as many
points as the number of correlating means.

9. A communications terminal according to [any preceding]
claim 6, wherein the output of the FFT means is supplied to a
maximum signal selector for signal acquisition.

004220" 9244560


REMARKS

The claims of this application have been amended.

Respectfully submitted,

DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL AND SKILLMAN
A Professional Corporation
Attorney for Applicant(s)

By



DONALD R. PIPER, JR., ESQ.
PTO Registration No. 29,337

Telephone: 215/563-4100
Facsimile: 215/563-4044

COMMUNICATIONS TERMINAL AND OPERATING METHODBackground to the Invention

The present invention relates to a communications terminal and a method of operating a communications terminal.

5 The inflexibility of frequency division multiple access and time division multiple access techniques has resulted in the development of new systems based on the uncoordinated spread spectrum concept. In these new systems, the bits of slow speed data traffic from each subscriber are multiplied
10 by a high chip rate spreading code, forcing each low bit rate (narrowband) data signal to fill a wide channel bandwidth. Many subscribers can then be accessed by allocating a unique, orthogonal spreading code to each. This constitutes a code division multiple access (CDMA) system. In the receiving
15 terminal, the desired signal is detected by correlation against a local reference code which is identical to the particular spread spectrum code employed prior to transmission.

Rapid initial code acquisition and re-acquisition is
20 crucial in CDMA communications. Doppler or local oscillator offsets can lead to frequency uncertainty which make this task particularly difficult. Existing strategies for code acquisition are single and multiple dwell detectors, matched filters, sequential detection and parallel detectors. In the
25 presence of frequency uncertainty, the most common approach is to sequentially search all code phases over the range of anticipated frequency offsets. This brute force approach is laborious and can lead to large acquisition times.

Current second generation mobile communication systems
30 cannot provide sufficient capacity to support the future demands of increased subscribers and higher data rates for multimedia communications. Third generation systems will be required to provide multiple access schemes which are capable

004220 9244560

of flexible data rates and variable services. However, it will considerably aid the acceptance of third generation systems if existing standards, infrastructures and components can be reused or reconfigured.

5 One common way of acquiring a direct sequence spread spectrum (DS-SS) signal is through the use of an energy detector at the output of the despreaders. This approach works by tuning the code phase and frequency offset of a complex matched filter over the range of possible phase and frequency
10 offsets anticipated in the system. When the phase of the desired spreading sequence (usually measured in terms of code chips) and local oscillator frequency offset are within specified limits, the detector will produce an output which exceeds some threshold and the system will register the
15 presence of the desired user. This initial acquisition will then trigger a verification loop which confirms the presence of the desired code sequence and subsequently a tracking loop which attempts to continuously maintain close alignment between the two code sequences in order to track any
20 fluctuations. If the desired spreading sequence phase and frequency offset are not within the limits, the output of the detector will not exceed the threshold, and the search for initial acquisition will continue.

The number of possible search bins will be determined by
25 the product of the number of possible code phase offsets (typically a half chip search is employed over the entire sequence), and the total range of possible Doppler offsets. The width of each Doppler bin is in turn determined by the frequency response of the complex correlator, thus yielding
30 a 2-dimensional search plane as depicted in Figure 1. The acquisition cells system sequentially searches this grid by aligning the reference code phase and frequency offset to the centre of each cell. The time required in order to obtain an initial acquisition will therefore depend directly on the
35 number of cells in the search region. For systems with long spreading codes, which might experience large Doppler offsets,

004420" 0244550

this acquisition time may prove prohibitively large.

We will consider, for example, a system with random codes of length 200, in which the range of possible Doppler shifts is +/- 32 kHz. The normalised frequency response of a complex matched filter (MF) is a function of the Doppler frequency offset Δf , as given below.

$$|H(\Delta f)| = \frac{1}{M} \frac{\sin(M\pi\Delta f T_c)}{\sin(\pi\Delta f T_c)} \quad (1)$$

In (1), M is the length of the spreading code, Δf is the Doppler frequency offset and T_c is the chip duration. Figure 2 depicts the frequency response of a complex MF for a data rate of 8 kHz ($M=200$, $T_c=625$ ns). We see that the 3dB bandwidth of the complex MF with these parameters is around 4 kHz. This will result in a total of $(200 \times 2) \times (64/4) = 6400$ cells which the energy detector must search. It has therefore been proposed, for example in Sust et al, "Rapid Acquisition Concepts for Voice Activated CDMA Communication" IEEE Globecom 90, pp 1820-1828, and in Stirling-Gallacher et al "A Fast Acquisition Technique for a Direct Spread Signal in the Presence of a Large Doppler Shift" IEEE ISSSTA 96, pp 156-160, to introduce a FFT based improvement to the energy detector which will reduce the number of possible cells by evaluating a reduced search space.

By re-examining Figure 1, it would be possible to substantially reduce the acquisition time, if it were possible to search all possible code Doppler cells simultaneously. By employing a FFT block as part of the acquisition system it would be possible to perform this form of search procedure. A block diagram of such a FFT enhanced acquisition system is shown in Figure 3.

The system consists of P complex matched filter correlators, each of length X , such that the product $X \times P$ equals the code length M . The first correlator will contain the first X chips of the spreading sequence, the second will

contain the next X chips, and so on through the P correlators. The outputs of the P correlators are therefore partial correlation results. These partial results are then passed to a N -point FFT 2, where $N = P$. The processing gain of this receiver is the same as the original energy detector, however if the correlator length X is chosen correctly, the addition of FFT processing allows the simultaneous search of all possible code Doppler shifts.

A specific example should illustrate this more effectively. Continuing with the previous example, where $M = 200$ and $T_c = 625$ ns, in order to increase the bandwidth of the partial correlators to beyond ± 32 kHz, their length is decreased to $X=25$. Therefore the number of partial correlators is $P=8$. If $N = P$ i.e. a 8-point FFT is selected, Figure 4 depicts the output of a maximum signal selector superimposed on all the FFT bin outputs. The bandwidth of the FFT processor has increased, and is much improved over the standard complex correlator. To further increase the bandwidth, the length of the individual correlators should be reduced and the total number of correlators increased correspondingly.

This FFT enhancement will allow all possible code Doppler offsets to be searched simultaneously. However, a scalloping loss can be observed when the Doppler offset falls between two bins of the FFT. This will result in a reduced probability of detection for signals with these Doppler offsets, as compared to signals which occur in the centre of any given bin.

Summary of the Invention

The present invention seeks to improve the acquisition time of a spread spectrum communication system, preferably through the reuse of FFT processing blocks. The approach taken is thought to be particularly applicable to third generation mobile systems, for which reuse and/or reconfiguration of hardware will be an important priority.

10 Preferably, the correlating means each comprise a complex
matched filter correlator and preferably each correlator is
of the same chip length, the product of the chip length of
each correlator and the number of correlators being equal to
the code length. Good results are obtained if the chip length
15 of each correlator is 25 or less.

The FFT means may in particular be a complex zero padded
20 FFT processor which preferably has at least twice as many
points as the number of correlators and may have four or eight
times as many. The output of the FFT means may be supplied
to a maximum signal selector for signal acquisition.

Preferably, prior to the correlation step, the signal is passed through a hard limiter, and preferably, after the FFT step, the maximum signal present is selected to acquire the

given signal.

The invention utilises a FFT to simultaneously search all possible code Doppler offsets at one time, thus reducing the 2-dimensional search problem to a 1-dimensional search for 5 code phase. By zero padding the FFT increased frequency accuracy is provided, which ensures that desired signals with Doppler offsets which lie between two bins of the FFT are not masked.

Brief Description of the Drawings

10 The present invention will now be described in more detail, by way of example only, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:-

Figure 1 is a diagram showing the search region for a conventional CDMA energy detector acquisition system;

15 Figure 2 shows the frequency response of a complex matched filter correlator;

Figure 3 is a block circuit diagram of an FFT based acquisition systems adapted from that of Sust et al;

20 Figure 4 shows the output of the system shown in Figure 3;

Figure 5 shows the output of a system according to an embodiment of the invention;

Figure 6 is a graph of probability of false alarm in the system of Figure 3 and in embodiments of the invention;

25 Figure 7 is a graph of probability of detection in the system of Figure 3;

Figure 8 is a graph as Figure 7, but also relating to embodiments of the invention; and

30 Figures 9 and 10 are graphs of mean acquisition time in the system of Figure 3 and in an embodiment of the invention, for two different respective false alarm penalty values.

004420" 9244550

Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments

Figure 5 shows the response when a 16-point FFT is inserted in the system shown in Figure 3, with the maximum signal selector superimposed. The number of correlators $P = 8$, and their length $X = 25$, remain unchanged. It can be seen that effectively there are more FFT output lobes in the same range of Doppler frequency uncertainty and therefore the scalloping loss is reduced.

10 In order to quantify the difference this padding effects, consider a signal with a Doppler offset of 28 kHz. This lies directly between two bins of the 8-point FFT shown in Figure 4. The normalised magnitude response at this offset is approximately 0.46. However, when the 16-point padded FFT
15 (Figure 5) is employed the normalised response increases to approximately 0.7. It is clear that further levels of padding will further reduce the scalloping loss which occurs, however the rate of improvement decreases rapidly with increasing amounts of padding. For this scheme it is apparent that no
20 further increase will be seen above a 64-point FFT.

The approach discussed above is an improvement of the swivelling correlator described by Sust et al. In Sust et al, the inclusion of FFT processing was seen as an additional burden on the processor, and therefore the FFT was kept as
25 small as possible. Implementing a large FFT will not necessarily present such a problem in third generation terminals.

The earlier discussion neglected the impact of the hard limiter 3 which is placed at the input of the correlators.
30 This has the effect of constraining the input to the first correlator to be either ± 1 . This causes the statistics of the signal at the input to the correlators to be binomial, and when no signal is present this limiter constrains the variance of the noise. If the interference statistics can be assumed
35 to be Gaussian, the hard limiter will result in a loss of

0954426-072400

approximately 2 dB. The simulation results presented below confirm this. In lightly loaded CDMA channels the interference statistics cannot be assumed to be Gaussian, and this loss will probably increase further. A worst case was highlighted 5 by Sust et al, in which there are only two users with unbalanced power. The limiter will have the effect of suppressing the weaker user, although receiver dither can be successfully used to combat this problem.

Despite these limitations, the limiter 3 is still 10 attractive from a digital signal processing perspective. The use of hard limiting allows single bit quantisation, and therefore cheap digital correlators can be employed as opposed to more costly analogue or high resolution digital processors. The simulation results presented herein include the impact of 15 the hard limiter, however the more detailed problems highlighted in the above paragraph are not considered.

In order to quantify the possible improvements which can be gained by employing a padded FFT, some approximate theoretical expressions for the performance of the FFT based 20 acquisition system are derived below. In particular, three performance measures are considered: false alarm probability, probability of detection and mean acquisition time.

A. Probability of false alarm.

In this section, the probability of false alarm, P_{fa} , is 25 derived, assuming that the desired signal is not present. The false alarm probability will depend upon the statistics of the noise at the input to the detector, and upon the threshold level employed in the detector. As a result of the limiter at the input to the correlators, the noise will have a 30 binomial distribution, with unit variance and zero mean. If the correlators are assumed to be sufficiently long, the central limit theorem can be applied to show that the signals at the input to the FFT are uncorrelated and have Gaussian statistics. If each correlator is of length X , then each

004220" 924550

output will have variance X and mean zero. If there are P correlators, then the outputs of a zero padded N -pt FFT (i.e. $N > P$) will have variance $PX (= M$, the code length) and zero mean. The magnitude of the outputs of the FFT are used in the
 5 detector - these amplitude signals will be Rayleigh distributed with probability density function (pdf) given by

$$p(r) = \frac{r}{M} e^{-\frac{r^2}{2M}} \quad (2)$$

and cumulative distribution function (cdf)

$$F(r) = 1 - e^{-\frac{r^2}{2M}} \quad (3)$$

The probability that any one FFT output is greater than some threshold level t , is given by

$$P[\text{output} > t] = e^{-\frac{t^2}{2M}} \quad (4)$$

- 10 All of the FFT outputs have the same distribution, and therefore the probability of any one of the N outputs exceeding the threshold (and hence the probability of false alarm) is given simply by

$$P_{fa} = 1 - (1 - e^{-\frac{t^2}{2M}})^N \quad (5)$$

B. Probability of detection.

- 15 The derivation of the probability of detection follows that of the false alarm probability. In this case it is assumed that the desired signal and corrupting noise are present at the input to the acquisition system. Again the noise at the inputs to the FFT is assumed to be decorrelated
 20 and Gaussian with variance X and mean zero. If the mean desired signal level at the input to the acquisition system is X , then the mean signal level (in bin 0 at frequency 0 Hz) will be $PX \alpha = M \alpha$. The output noise variance is M as before. At the output of the FFT, the amplitudes of the signals are
 25 taken, thus the statistics of the output of some FFT bin i are

- 10 -

Rician, with pdf given by

$$p_i(r) = \frac{r}{M} e^{-\left(\frac{r^2 + s_i^2}{2M}\right)} I_0\left(\frac{rs_i}{M}\right) \quad (6)$$

where I_0 is the zero-th order modified Bessel function, and s_i is the summation of the mean of the signals on the inphase and quadrature branches for bin i ,

$$s_i^2 = m_I^2 + m_Q^2 \quad (7)$$

- 5 The cumulative distribution function of the Rice distribution is given by

$$F(r) = 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{r^2 + s_i^2}{2M}\right)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{s_i}{r}\right)^m I_m\left(\frac{rs_i}{M}\right) \quad (8)$$

The probability that the amplitude of bin i is greater than some threshold t is given by

$$P^i[\text{output} > t] = e^{-\left(\frac{r^2 + s_i^2}{2M}\right)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{s_i}{r}\right)^m I_m\left(\frac{rs_i}{M}\right) \quad (9)$$

- Signal acquisition is assumed if any of the output bins
10 is greater than the threshold. Each of the N FFT output bins has the same distribution, and therefore the probability of any one of the N outputs exceeding the threshold (and hence the probability of detection) will be given by

$$P_d = 1 - P_{\text{no detection}} \quad (10)$$

$$P_d = 1 - \prod_{i=0}^N (1 - P^i[\text{output} > t]) \quad (11)$$

C. Mean acquisition time.

- 15 The present analysis considers a single dwell detector. The acquisition model can be summarised as follows. Suppose there are q cells to be searched (through a combination of both code phase and Doppler uncertainty). Assume that if a hit is detected (i.e. the magnitude of any output of the FFT

0055446.07400

is greater than some threshold), the system goes into a verification mode that may include an extended dwell period and a code tracking loop period. The false alarm penalty of entering the verification mode when the desired signal is not present is modelled as $K\tau_d$, where the dwell or integration time is given by τ_d . The mean acquisition time for such a single dwell system has been derived as

$$\bar{T}_{acq} = \frac{(2-P_d)(1+KP_{fa})}{2P_d} (q\tau_d) \quad (12)$$

In order to aid comparison between different systems, the mean acquisition time is often normalised to the integration time τ_d as follows

$$\frac{\bar{T}_{acq}}{\tau_d} = \frac{(2-P_d)(1+KP_{fa})}{2P_d} (q) \quad (13)$$

The mean acquisition time results presented below use values of P_{fa} and P_d derived from simulation, for a selection of false alarm penalty values.

The theoretical performance of the FFT acquisition scheme of the invention is now compared with Monte Carlo simulation results. The simulations investigate the effect of using increasingly larger padded FFTs on the three variables for which the theoretical values were derived above. For simplicity and consistency, the same system parameters are used as in the previous examples. To recap, a data rate of 8 kHz is assumed, with random codes of length $M = 200$ which leads to a chip period of 625 ns. The partial correlators are of length $X = 25$, which means that there are 8 inphase and quadrature inputs to the FFT block. The received sequence with the carrier offset of 28 kHz which was highlighted earlier is also considered below. This will clearly illustrate the improvement achieved by zero padding in the FFT.

A. False alarm probability.

The first set of results concerns the probability of false alarm. Figure 6 presents the results from Monte Carlo simulation of four different FFT sizes. The acquisition system was simulated with only noise present, and the simulation was terminated when either the equivalent of 1 million data bits had been transmitted, or 500 false alarm errors were collected.

The dotted lines in Figure 6 depict the theoretical false alarm probability as computed by (5) against the threshold level in the detector. It is apparent that increasing the FFT size results in an increase in the probability of false alarm, since with more output bins there is an increased probability of any one individual bin exceeding the threshold. The theoretical curves assume that each of the N output bins of the FFT are independent and equally likely to exceed the threshold. However, there are only 8 non-zero and independent inputs to the FFT, which means that the output bins will not be truly independent. This effect is seen in the "saturation" observed in the simulated points - as the FFT size is successively increased the false alarm probability quickly reaches a maximum value. It will become apparent from the next set of results that it is possible to compensate for the increased probability of false alarm by improving the probability of detection.

B. Probability of detection.

The theoretical probability of detection can be computed from (11) through the Markum Q function. A Q function evaluation algorithm was used to evaluate the theoretical curves for Figures 7 and 8. The Markum Q function is the infinite sum in (11), i.e.

$$Q\left(\frac{s_i}{\sigma}, \frac{t}{\sigma}\right) = e^{-\left(\frac{r^2 + s_i^2}{2M}\right)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{s_i}{r}\right)^m I_m\left(\frac{rs_i}{M}\right) \quad (14)$$

The algorithm computes the value of $Q(\cdot, \cdot)$ by using a truncated series approximation. Figure 7 compares the theoretical and simulated values of probability of detection for an 8 point FFT for three threshold values at a Doppler offset of 28kHz. The graph shows that as the threshold value is decreased the probability of detection is increased, and that as the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) increases the probability of detection also increases.

It is also possible to see the impact of hard limiting on the performance of the detector. The limiter quantises the received signal into either ± 1 values, so that the individual outputs of the partial correlators have integer, rather than real values. Therefore, the rotating phasors which are observed at the partial correlator outputs are quantised in value, rather than following their theoretically continuous pattern. Provided the correlators are sufficiently long, and the noise is dominant, this quantisation error will be small. When Gaussian noise corrupts the input to a hard limiter, it has the effect of linearising the limiter's operating characteristic. At higher SNR values, the characteristic is more nonlinear (when no noise is input the limiter characteristic is extremely nonlinear), so that the impact of the quantisation is only seen at higher SNR values. The theoretical curves, shown dotted in Figure 7, do not include the operation of the limiter to illustrate the loss in performance due to this quantisation error.

The next set of results considers the improvement which can be achieved by zero padding the FFT to increase the frequency resolution. Figure 8 contrasts four FFT sizes, for a detection threshold of 60. As was predicted, the probability of detection increases as the FFT size increases. However, a similar saturation effect can be seen as was observed in the

004220 9244550

false alarm probability results. This occurs because the theoretical curves assume that each output bin of the FFT has an equal probability of containing the desired signal, however the outputs of a padded FFT are weakly dependent and this is evidenced in the lack of improvement in the detection probability above FFT sizes of around 64. Despite this saturation effect, the addition of zero--padding to the FFT has improved the probability of detection by a factor of approximately 3. Again, the Doppler offset is 28kHz and the dotted theoretical curves neglect the effect of hard limiting.

C. Mean acquisition time.

The mean acquisition results use (13) with values for P_d and P_{fa} derived from the earlier simulation studies. The results presented here consider the two false alarm penalty values, $K = 1, 50$. These values represent two different extremes, and so help to illustrate the dependence between the increased false alarm rate and improved probability of detection.

Figures 9 and 10 depict the mean acquisition time as a function of SNR, with $K = 1$ and $K = 50$, respectively. It is apparent that the use of the larger (padded) FFT results in a decrease in the mean acquisition time for both false alarm penalty values. The improvement is most dramatic for higher threshold values. With a detection threshold of 60 and $K = 1$, the mean acquisition time for the 64 point FFT is approximately one third that of the 8 point FFT. However, for a threshold of 40 with $K = 50$ there is little difference in mean acquisition time between the two FFT sizes. Figure 10 shows that at high SNR values it would be preferable to impose a larger detection threshold, since the large value of false alarm penalty makes false alarms more dominant in the acquisition time.

The present invention thus provides an improved acquisition scheme for direct sequence spread spectrum

communications. The technique reuses FFT hardware available from other functions to simultaneously search all code Doppler offsets, and thereby reduce the mean acquisition time. The reuse of hardware (for example OFDM receiver hardware) in a manner similar to this will prove vital to the success of future third generation communication terminals.

When the code Doppler shift of the desired sequence falls between two bins of the FFT, zero padding of the FFT block can lead to reductions in the acquisition time. Zero padding results in a slight increase in the false alarm probability, however this is compensated for by an increase in the probability of detection.

The method of the invention holds promise for implementation in future reconfigurable terminals in mobile and satellite communications systems.

004220" 9245560

- 16 -

CLAIMS

1. A communications terminal for use in a code division multiple access system, comprising a plurality of correlating means, each for correlating a part of a spreading code sequence relating to a signal to be acquired, and zero padded Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) means for operating on the output of the correlating means.

2. A communications terminal according to claim 1, wherein the correlating means each comprise a complex matched filter correlator.

3. A communications terminal according to claim 2, wherein each correlator is of the same chip length, the product of the chip length of each correlator and the number of correlators defining the length of the spreading code.

4. A communications terminal according to claim 3, wherein the chip length of each correlator is 25 or less.

5. A communications terminal according to any preceding claim, including a hard limiter at the input to the correlating means.

6. A communications terminal according to any preceding claim, wherein the FFT means is a complex zero padded FFT processor having at least twice as many points as the number of correlating means.

7. A communications terminal according to claim 6, wherein the FFT processor has four times as many points as the number of correlating means.

8. A communications terminal according to claim 6, wherein the FFT processor has eight times as many points as the number of correlating means.

9. A communications terminal according to any preceding claim, wherein the output of the FFT means is supplied to a maximum signal selector for signal acquisition.

10. A method of operating a code division multiple
5 access communications terminal so as to acquire a given signal, comprising correlating the spreading code sequence of the given signal in a plurality of partial correlation operations, and processing the partial correlation results using a zero padded Fast Fourier Transform (FFT).

10 11. A method according to claim 10, wherein, prior to the correlation step, the signal is passed through a hard limiter.

12. A method according to claim 10 or 11, wherein, after the FFT step, the maximum signal present is selected to
15 acquire the given signal.

004220-924560

Fig. 1





Fig. 2







Fig. 5





Fig. 6



4/5

8-pt FFT, no padding

Fig. 7







Fig. 8





21-JUL-2000 11:50 FROM [REDACTED] & GW JOHNSON

TO [REDACTED] DANN DORFMAN P.03/03

U.S. Utility Patent Application

DECLARATION, POWER OF ATTORNEY AND POWER TO INSPECT

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare:

that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

that I verily believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural inventors are named below) of the Invention entitled:

the specification of which [check one(s) applicable]

* was filed 12.11.98 as PCT International/U.S. Application No. PCT/GB98/03401

and was amended by Amendment filed _____ (if applicable); [or];

is attached to this Declaration, Power of Attorney and Power to Inspect;

that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above; and

that I acknowledge my duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Rule 56(a) [37CFR§1.56(a)].

CLAIM UNDER 35 USC §119: I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 USC §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s) Application No.	Country	Filing Date Day-Mo-Year	Priority Claimed Yes - No
9724048.5	GB	14.11.97.	

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As inventor, I hereby appoint DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL AND SKILLMAN, P.C. of Philadelphia, PA, and the following individual(s) as my attorneys or agents with full power of substitution to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: Henry H. Skillman, Reg. No. 17,352; Patrick J. Hagan, Reg. No. 27,643; Donald R. Piper, Jr., Reg. No. 29,337

POWER TO INSPECT: I hereby give DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL AND SKILLMAN, P.C. of Philadelphia, PA or its duly accredited representatives power to inspect and obtain copies of the papers on file relating to this application.

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO: DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL AND SKILLMAN, P.C.

1601 Market Street, Suite 720
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2307Telephone: (215) 563-4100
Facsimile: (215) 563-4044

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

SOLE OR FIRST JOINT INVENTOR**SECOND JOINT INVENTOR (if any)**Full Name David George Melville
First Middle LastSignature David G. MelvilleDate 15/7/00Residence Edinburgh, United Kingdom
City City or CountryCitizenship United Kingdom

Post Office Address:

32/6 Bernard Terrace,Edinburgh EH8 9NX, United Kingdom

City State or Country Zip Code

Full Name Sascha Marcus SPANGENBERG
First Middle LastSignature S. SpangenbergDate 29 June 2000Residence Edinburgh, United Kingdom
City City or CountryCitizenship Federal Republic of Germany

Post Office Address:

Top Flat Right, 81 Comely Bank Avenue,Edinburgh EH4 1EU, United Kingdom

City City or Country Zip Code

TOTAL P. 03